

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

IN RE AUTOMOTIVE PARTS
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

:
: Master File No. 2:12-md-02311
: Hon. Marianne O. Battani
:

In Re: Bearings
In Re: Electronic Powered Steering Assemblies

:
: Case No. 2:12-cv-00502
: Case No. 2:13-cv-01902
:

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Automobile Dealership Actions

:
:
:
:
:

**ORDER GRANTING DEALERSHIP PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
APPROVAL OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WITH
JTEKT DEFENDANTS
PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASSES**

Upon consideration of Automobile Dealer Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Proposed Settlement with Defendants JTEKT Corporation, JTEKT Automotive North America, Inc., and JTEKT North America Corp. (formerly d/b/a Koyo Corporation of U.S.A.) (collectively, "JTEKT Defendants") and Provisional Certification of Settlement Classes ("Motion"), it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

1. The Motion is hereby **GRANTED**.

Unless otherwise set forth herein, defined terms in this Order shall have the same meaning ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement.

Preliminary Approval of Settlement Agreement

2. The terms of the Settlement Agreement are hereby preliminarily approved, including the release contained therein, as being fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Classes, subject to a Fairness Hearing. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into at

arm's length by experienced counsel and is sufficiently within the range of reasonableness that notice of the Settlement Agreement should be given, pursuant to a plan to be submitted by Settlement Class Counsel and approved by the Court at a later date as provided in this Order.

Class Certification

3. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 23, and in light of the proposed settlement, the Court hereby finds that the prerequisites for a class action have been met and provisionally certifies the following classes for settlement purposes ("Settlement Classes"):

(a) "Automotive Bearings Settlement Class" is defined as:

All Automobile Dealerships that, during the period from and including January 1, 2000, through the Execution Date, (1) purchased new Vehicles in the United States that included one or more Automotive Bearings as a component part, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant, any current or former subsidiary or affiliate of a Defendant, or any co-conspirator of a Defendant or (2) indirectly purchased one or more Automotive Bearings, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant, any current or former parent, subsidiary, or affiliate of a Defendant, or any co-conspirator of Defendants. Excluded from the Automotive Bearings Settlement Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries, and affiliates, any co-conspirators, federal governmental entities and instrumentalities of the federal government, and states and their subdivisions, agencies, and instrumentalities, and persons who purchased Automotive Bearings directly or for resale.

(b) "Electronic Powered Steering Assemblies Settlement Class" is defined as:

All Automobile Dealerships that, from January 1, 2000 through the Execution Date, (1) purchased new Vehicles in the United States that included one or more Electronic Powered Steering Assemblies as a component part, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant, any current or former subsidiary of a Defendant, or any co-conspirator of a Defendant, or (2) indirectly purchased one or more Electronic Powered Steering Assemblies, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant, any current or former parent, subsidiary, or affiliate of a Defendant, or any co-conspirator of Defendants. Excluded from the Electronic Powered Steering Assemblies Settlement Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries, and affiliates, any co-conspirators, federal governmental entities and instrumentalities of the federal government, and states and their subdivisions, agencies and instrumentalities.

4. The Court finds that provisional certification of the Settlement Classes is warranted in light of the Settlement Agreement because: (a) the Settlement Classes are so numerous that

joinder is impracticable; (b) Dealership Plaintiffs' claims present common issues and are typical of the Settlement Classes; (c) Dealership Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Counsel (defined below) will fairly and adequately represent the Settlement Classes; and (d) common issues predominate over any individual issues affecting the members of the Settlement Classes. The Court further finds that Dealership Plaintiffs' interests are aligned with the interests of all other members of the Settlement Classes. The Court also finds settlement of this action on a class basis superior to other means of resolving the matter.

Appointment of Settlement Class Counsel

5. The Court hereby appoints Barrett Law Group, P.A., Cuneo Gilbert & LaDuca, LLP, and Larson • King LLP as Settlement Class Counsel, having determined that the requirements of Rule 23(g) are fully satisfied by this appointment.

6. Each Dealership Plaintiff class representative named in the most current Complaint in each case will serve as Dealership Plaintiff class representative on behalf of the Settlement Classes.

Notice to Potential Class Members

7. Prior to the Fairness Hearing, Settlement Class Counsel shall provide notice of the Settlement Agreement and the Fairness Hearing to all entities affected by and/or entitled to participate in the settlement in compliance with the notice requirements of Rule 23 and due process of law. Such means of providing notice will be addressed in a subsequent Order following submission by Dealership Plaintiffs at a later date of a proposal for notice to the Settlement Classes and related forms for notice, claims and distribution ("Notice Motion").

8. The Notice Motion shall include a proposed form of, method for, and date of dissemination of notice and the date on which the notice is mailed shall be the "Notice Date."

Other Provisions

9. In the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated in accordance with its provisions, the Settlement Agreement and all proceedings had in connection therewith shall be null and void, except insofar as expressly provided to the contrary in the Settlement Agreement, and without prejudice to the status quo and rights of Dealership Plaintiffs, JTEKT, and the members of the Settlement Classes.

10. The Court's provisional certification of the Settlement Classes as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendants, including JTEKT Defendants, to contest certification of any other class proposed in these coordinated actions. The Court's findings in this Order shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in this action or on the Court's ruling(s) concerning any Defendant's motion; and no party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Classes as persuasive or binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any such class or any Defendant's motion.

11. The Court approves the establishment of the Settlement Fund under the Settlement Agreement as a qualified settlement fund ("QSF") pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder, and retains continuing jurisdiction as to any issue that may arise in connection with the formation and/or administration of the QSF. Settlement Class Counsel are, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, authorized to expend funds from the QSF for the payment of the costs of notice, payment of taxes, and settlement administration costs.

12. The litigation against Releasees (as defined in the Settlement Agreement with JTEKT Defendants), in particular Defendants JTEKT Corporation, JTEKT Automotive North America, Inc., JTEKT North America Corp. (formerly d/b/a Koyo Corporation of U.S.A.), is stayed except to the extent necessary to effectuate the Settlement Agreement.

Date: November 7, 2016

s/Marianne O. Battani
MARIANNE O. BATTANI
United States District Judge